



1. Is it important to have a cricket club in the village?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics	
Very important	37.4%	34	Total Responses	91
Important	49.5%	45		
Not important	13.2%	12		
	Total	91		


2. If you answered Very Important or Important, what would be your preference?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics
Retain the existing cricket pitch	80.3%	65	Total Responses 81
Create a new pitch on the north side of Dowkell Lane	16.1%	13	
Create a new pitch elsewhere in the village – please specify	3.7%	3	
		Total	81


Responses ""	Count
no	1
retain current premises on a long term lease to enable club to effect improvements	1
think we need a and b	1

3. Is it important to you that any new cricket pitch should offer facilities for other sporting activities?




Value	Percent	Count	Statistics	
Very important	11.5%	9	Total Responses	78
Important	25.6%	20		
Not important	62.8%	49		
		Total		78

4. Is it important to you that any new cricket pitch should offer facilities for other village activities e.g. village hall?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics
Very important	10.3%	8	Total Responses 78
Important	29.5%	23	
Not important	60.3%	47	
Total		78	

5. Putting aside the TABS issues, would you support any residential development...(tick all that apply)




Value	Percent	Count	Statistics
on the old cricket pitch	27.9%	12	Total Responses 43
the north side of Dowkell Lane	37.2%	16	
the south side of Dowkell Lane	48.8%	21	
on Church Causeway	51.2%	22	
Total		43	

6. If you have answered YES to support residential development, what size of development would be appropriate? Please insert number of dwellings.


Count	Response
11	10
1	100
1	1015
4	12
5	15
6	20
4	25
1	2530
1	30
1	40
5	5
1	8

7. If you have answered YES to support residential development, would your answer still be YES if the development on TATE proceeds?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics
Yes	27.7%	13	Total Responses 47
No	72.3%	34	
Total		47	

8. If you have answered YES to support residential development, would your answer still be YES if TABS decides to stay on the existing ground or decides to move out of the Village entirely?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics
Yes	65.9%	29	Total Responses 44
No	34.1%	15	
Total		44	

9. If TABS ceases to use the old cricket ground for any reason, what would you like to see that land used for?

Count	Response
1	A Park
1	A park
1	A safe play area for children
1	Assisted living area for the elderly
1	Building houses
1	Community green space
1	Community use by the residents of Thorp Arch
1	Community use, a small playground
1	Green Space. Playground
1	Green open space & childrens playground
1	Green space for the Village, with doors locked at an agreed time
1	Green space for the community. Other sports eg. bowling green plus childrens play area.
1	Open ground for Parish use only- No village hall. No playground.
1	Public open space
1	Recreation ground/sports ground for the school
1	Recreation protected playing field
2	Recreational purposes
1	Recreational use
1	Small residential development
1	Village green run by the Parish Council
1	Village open space
2	bowling green
1	bowls club and pleasure garden
1	childrens park
1	childrens play area and nice park
1	communal park
1	communal use
1	community affairs
1	community green space
1	community open space


Count Response

1	community use
1	good housing
1	green space
1	kids play area/village hall
1	nature reserve, green space, school amenity
1	not bothered
1	not housing
1	open green space
1	open ground
2	open space
1	open space for village /school
1	open space/kids playground
1	open space/recreational land
1	park/garden or allotments
1	play park/bigger beer garden
1	quality housing
2	recreation
1	recreation/sports ground
1	recreation/use by school/open green space
6	recreational
1	recreational use
1	recreational use-other sports
1	recreational village use
1	residential
1	residential development
1	village amenity, nature reserve
1	village events/car park
1	village green
1	village green and hall
1	village hall
1	village hall and recreation

Count Response


1	village hall/green
1	village hall/use
2	village use
1	village use, but not playground for kids
1	Village recreational uses. Maybe bowls/boule. Maybe a village sponsored junior cricket club. Possibly a small clubhouse that could be used for bridge or whist drives, small gatherings etc
1	Some form of alternative playing fields, such as a football pitch for the school and a village hall
1	Building -question 8 is badly worded. If tabs moves then happy for land to be used for building in preference to anywhere else
1	Recreation purposes only for the village. It is ideal for this being at the heart of the village and next to the pub. It's a great way of bringing the community together.

10. Are you or any member of your family a member of TABS ?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics
Yes	10.6%	9	Total Responses 85
No	89.4%	76	
Total		85	

11. Where do you live?



Value	Percent	Count	Statistics	
Thorp Arch Park	40.9%	36	Total Responses	88
The old Village	35.2%	31		
Dowkell Lane	0.0%	0		
Woodlands area	6.8%	6		
Elsewhere in Thorp Arch	17.1%	15		
		Total		88

12. Do you have any other comments?

Count	Response
1	10 to 20 houses on Church Causway. System would not allow this input.
1	Develop land north of the Prison for needed housing.
1	Keep the existing cricket pitch and refurbish existing facilities
1	NO
1	The village does not need a village hall. The Pax Inn and the church are fine for village events.
1	We do not want houses on the old cricket pitch under any circumstance
1	We don't want a bigger cricket pitch at the expense of housing
1	any development on the cricket pitch would destroy the character of the village
1	cricket pitch to stay where it is
1	cricket to stay where it is
1	develop land between prison and estate for cricket club
1	disgraceful that the estate have applied again for this
1	dont need village hall, need cricket club
1	if the cricket club has to expand they should move out of the village. no to development
1	need some carefully chosen development
1	no
1	no to any development
1	not willing to have building in order to retain the cricket club.its the cricket clubs problem
1	spend the money that would be spent on the new ground updating present site
1	the people backing on to the cricket pitch bought the houses for this reason
1	we only need a small cricket pitch/club
1	We must control the pace and scale of growth and retain the village characteristics. Standing still is not an option - before the old village, there was just a field remember!
1	The cricket pitch should not be moved, it is in the heart of the village and next door to the Pax Inn - the only two things in the village
1	I am under the impression Hatfield Estate is for the good of the village, so keep the cricket ground where it is ON A LONG LEASE.
1	In the interest of fairness- if TATE does not go ahead I think 10 houses in The Old Village and 15 on the Prison Social Club site would be fair.
1	TABS is no longer interested in being a village-based cricket club, it is not inclusive and not interested in this community, just in its land. A county-sized academy with other facilities in this small rural village would be inappropriate and out of keeping. To the simple question asked at the meeting, would I be prepared to support development in the village to allow the club to move and expand, the answer is a simple and emphatic no.

Count Response

-
- 1 TABS needs to upgrade its facilities with a new club house. I think there is merit in investigating whether it could also incorporate a bowling club during the summer also to provide recreation for older residents. It could be very sociable. This could be done on an area of outfield. I think it should be possible for TABS to raise funds for new facilities, enhanced by any contribution from a landowner/developer if the village frees up some land on Causeway or elsewhere.
-
- 1 If we need development then it is better on the old cricket ground as we don't break the village ring which Donkwell Lane would do which ultimately leads to sprawl and loss of character of the village
-
- 1 RE housing development along the roads mentioned, I would not greatly object to a small number of houses along the sides of the road, but absolutely not agree to a housing estate of any significant size.
-
- 1 I DO NOT WISH TO SEE ANY DEVELOPMENT ON DOWKELL LANE OR CHURCH CAUSEWAY. I BELIEVE THE 'CRICKET GROUND' WAS 'BEQUEATHED' TO THE VILLAGE IN PERPETUITY ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WAS USED FOR COMMUNAL PURPOSES. THE PROPOSED SITE AT THE EASTERN END OF DOWKELL LANE ADJACENT TO 'WHIEGATES' DOES NOT BELONG TO THE HATFIELD ESTATE, SO I DO NOT SEE HOW THEY COULD PROPOSE A DEVELOPMENT ON THIS SITE?
-
- 1 Our son was a junior member of TABS and we had continually supported the club since coming to the village more than 30 years ago. Recently it seems to have somehow 'lost touch' with the local community both in terms involving local junior members and seeking support from village residents.
-
- 1 If the older boys decide to play cricket elsewhere then the younger children should stay on the pitch if they like in the village.
-
- 1 Any development on Dowkell Lane would encourage further development around the village. Development should be confined to individual infill units within the village envelope and by the prison
-
- 1 I think the community and TABS should liaise together better and try to keep the existing ground running through fundraising etc. Realistically, I understand the need to look for an area for development for housing, but not if TATE goes ahead.
-
- 1 build on church causeway as houses more modern there. if cricket club wants to expand they should move out of the village
-
- 1 If TATE does not go ahead I would support 20 houses being built on the old Prison Social Club site.

